Extreme Climatic Events and Economic Vulnerabilities: Empirical Evidence from Australian Households

Mohammad Razib Hossain PhD Candidate School of Economics and Public Policy

The University of Adelaide





Do extreme climatic events matter?

- Extreme climatic events in Australia are costly.
- Recent surge in extreme climatic events has worsened people's lifestyle through added expenses (Johar et al., 2022; Masozera et al., 2007).
- Considerable interest in understanding the economic, social and health impacts of natural disasters on households and communities.
- It is critical to understand the groups that are most affected and that are more resilient during a natural disaster.
- Such assessments will be critical important for identifying potential policy interventions for future disasters.



Do extreme climatic events matter? Cont.

- Effects and magnitudes of extreme climatic events can vary across entities, leading to variations in the exposure and economic vulnerabilities (Masozera et al., 2007).
- Economic costs owing to extreme climatic events is likely to go up.
- Extreme climatic events are inevitable; however, better economic policies can be effective in mitigating economic costs, especially at the micro-level.





Motivation

- Extreme climatic events is a direct threat toward the economic resilience, especially at micro level.
- Over the last two decades economists have contributed strongly to understanding the impacts of natural disasters on households.
- Efforts have been made to explore the effects of natural disasters on insurance payments, migration, and other economic costs (Johar et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2024).
- However, existing studies lack in terms of assessing the effects of extreme weather events on economic vulnerabilities.
- Understanding how extreme climatic events affect economic vulnerabilities can be instrument abilities can be instr in designing economic policies to wind down the growing costs owing to extreme weather events.



Research questions & contribution

- How do extreme climatic events (i.e., floods, cyclone, bushfire) affect economic vulnerabilities in Australia?
- Do Australian households respond differently amid extreme weather events considering different levels of economic vulnerabilities (i.e., rent poverty, food poverty, energy poverty).
- Are high-income group families more resistant against extreme weather events compared to low-income groups?
- The main contribution of this study is that it justifies that extreme climatic events are costly, especially for households at risk of poverty.





Data

The Household, Income and Labor Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) Survey data. Climate data from Bureau of Meteorology (BOM)

- HILDA is household-based longitudinal study that collects valuable information about economic and personal well-being, labor market dynamics and family life.
- The HILDA survey follows the lives of more than 17,000 Australians each year.
- The HILDA database provides 22 years of longitudinal data on key parameters strongly associated with Australian households.
- For this study data from 2009 to 2022 have been used.





Key variables of this study

- 'Economic vulnerability' is my dependent variable.
- Capacity to obtain emergency fund: Whether a household is able to obtain funds during an emergency.
- Food poverty: Whether the household skips meal on a regular basis.
- Rent poverty: Whether the household has missed recent rent payments or mortgages.
- Energy poverty: This is a subjective measure obtained from HILDA.
- Explanatory variable: 'Disaster' is the main explanatory variable of this study, which is a binary variable and obtained from the HILDA dataset that asks, "A weather-related disaster (e.g., flood, bushfire, cyclone) damaged or destroyed your home"?
- Control variables: Household characteristics, such as, number of bedroom, age, household type, 🗃 loan burden, rural remoteness, gender, number of dependents, household income, marital status, long-term health conditions, education, and employment status have been used. I have also used weather controls.

THE UNIVERSITY

Descriptive statistics

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Emergency Fund	192362	.416	.493	0	1
Financial Help	178818	.12	.325	0	1
Food Pov	178566	.039	.195	0	1
Rent Poor	178168	.06	.237	0	1
Energy Pov	178475	.032	.176	0	1
Disaster	194103	.014	.119	0	1
Loan Burden	153064	.734	.442	0	1
Rural Remote Area	290609	.346	.476	0	1
Parenting Benefit	290663	.021	.144	0	1
Tax Benefit	290663	.307	.461	0	1
Wind S	290663	4.052	.604	2.41	5.72
Relative Hum	290663	71.225	4.572	57.25	79.69
Wind D	290663	190.748	65.026	24.25	351.19



Estimation equation

 $EV_{it} = \phi_0 + \gamma_l Disaster_{it} + \phi_p W_{pt} + \varphi_i + \theta_s + \phi_t + \rho_{it}$

 $\Box EV_{it}$ is the economic vulnerabilities for household *i* in time *t*.

- $\Box Disaster_{it}$ is the measure of the extreme weather events incorporating floods, bushfire and cyclone.
- $\Box W_{pt}$ is the weather control measures.
- \Box A vector of household characteristics (φ_i), state fixed effects (θ_s) and time fixed effects (ϕ_t) are also included to absorb the effects of unobservable household, timeinvariant state or time characteristics, and ρ_{it} denotes the error term.





Baseline model results

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
VARIABLES	Financial Help	Food Pov	Rent Poor	Heating Related FuelPOV
Disaster	0.23729***	0.23391*	0.23339***	0.45864***
	(0.06929)	(0.12188)	(0.05879)	(0.06894)
Marginal effect	.0206404***	.0052891*	.0114473**	.0092721***
	(.0060307)	(.0027598)	(.004577)	(.0024452)
Constant	0.16868	-0.53309	-0.87245	1.41659
	(0.82149)	(1.50074)	(0.69362)	(0.88436)
Household controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Weather controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Year effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
State effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	92,372	92,291	92,181	92,282
Number of waveid	15,961	15,960	: 486 52	1955

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1







Key results

- Extreme climatic events are a key determinant of economic vulnerabilities.
- □ I find that disasters surge financial hardships among Australian households.
- Households are more likely to face financial hardship and rent poverty during disasters.
- Also, households are likely to face food and energy poverty.



Results for lowest income group

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
VARIABLES	Financial Help	Rent Poor	Food Pov	Heating Related FuelPOV
Disaster	0.46413***	0.29872	0.25235	0.71967***
	(0.17786)	(0.20013)	(0.28630)	(0.23007)
Marginal effects	0.30987***	0.00446	0.00822	0.13009***
201 201	(0.03522)	(0.01245	(0.03224)	(0.06547)
Constant	-1.08964	-6.56737***	-4.06023	0.01237
	(2.36755)	(2.36546)	(3.50757)	(2.87373)
Household controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Weather controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Year effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
State effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	11,768	11,689	11,753	11,746
Number of waveid	4,307	4,294	4,302	4,303

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1





Results for highest income group

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
VARIABLES	Financial Help	Food Pov	Rent Poor	Heating Related Fue
Disaster	0.29717	0.80742**	0.47673**	0.95667***
	(0.18612)	(0.35082)	(0.21013)	(0.36418)
Marginal effect	0.00343	0.00533**	0.00077**	0.008283***
2275	(0.01237)	(0.20093)	(0.10039)	(0.00320)
Constant	-2.14620	-4.76022	3.22480	7.96765
	(2.25979)	(5.12113)	(3.10530)	(5.69892)
Household controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Weather controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Year effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
State effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	21,752	21,731	21,725	21,740
Number of waveid	7,381	7,373	7,371	7,375
CASINE CANADA	Dobust	standard arrang in	noronthogog	

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, *|p<0.1







Heterogeneity (Moderating effects with parenting benefits)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
VARIABLES	Financial_Help	Food_Pov	Rent_Poor	Heating_Related_FuelPOV
1.Disaster	0.26242***	0.27662**	0.22069***	0.47716***
	(0.06957)	(0.12140)	(0.08089)	(0.10545)
1.Parenting_Benefit	0.42950***	0.12430	0.52478***	0.39716***
	(0.06841)	(0.13734)	(0.08556)	(0.10763)
Disaster*.Parenting_Benefit	<mark>-0.83216**</mark>	<mark>-1.89697***</mark>	-0.41469	<mark>-2.43465***</mark>
	(0.36661)	(0.58206)	(0.47389)	(0.67628)
Constant	0.45125	-0.51676	-0.88618	1.52624
	(0.82121)	(1.50859)	(0.95909)	(1.44428)
Household Controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Weather Controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
State Effects	yes	yes	yes	yes
Year Effects	yes	yes	yes	yes
	92,372	92,291	92,181	92,282
Observations				
Number of waveid	15,961	15,960	15,953	15,961
Robust standard errors in parentheses				

Table: Moderating effects of parenting benefits

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1



Marginal effects (emergency fund)

		Delta-method				
	Margin	std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
Disaster						
0	.055404	.0013181	42.03	0.000	.0528205	.0579874
1	.0779061	.0069976	11.13	0.000	.0641911	.0916211
Parenting_Benefit						
0	.0553306	.0013156	42.06	0.000	.052752	.0579092
1	.0957292	.0080762	11.85	0.000	.0799002	.1115582
Disaster#Parenting_Benefit						
00	.0550536	.001316	41.83	0.000	.0524743	.0576328
0 1	.0966489	.0081712	11.83	0.000	.0806337	.1126641
10	.0783359	.0070799	11.06	0.000	.0644596	.0922121
1 1	.045073	.0236705	1.90	0.057	0013203	.0914662





Marginal effects (food poverty)

	Margin	Delta-method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	. in
Disaster						
0	.0339742	.0010054	33.79	0.000	.0320037	
1	.0465542	.0053312	8.73	0.000	.0361052	
Parenting_Benefit						
0	.0338459	.0010033	33.73	0.000	.0318794	
1	.0699217	.0077806	8.99	0.000	.054672	
Disaster#Parenting_Benefit						
00	.0336927	.0010028	33.60	0.000	.0317272	
01	.0701628	.0078078	8.99	0.000	.0548597	
10	.0464777	.0053667	8.66	0.000	.0359592	
1 1	.0541769	.0349915	1.55	0.122	0144052	



.0358123 .0851715

.0359447

nterval]

THE UNIVERSITY of ADELAIDE



Marginal effects (rent poverty)

	Margin	std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	in
Disaster						
0	.0111505	.0006673	16.71	0.000	.0098427	
1	.0162291	.0028249	5.75	0.000	.0106925	
Parenting_Benefit						
0	.011192	.0006678	16.76	0.000	.0098831	
1	.0129517	.0026544	4.88	0.000	.0077492	
Disaster#Parenting_Benefit						
00	.0111286	.0006678	16.66	0.000	.0098198	
01	.01338	.0027396	4.88	0.000	.0080104	
10	.0166653	.0029122	5.72	0.000	.0109576	
1 1	.000843	.000948	0.89	0.374	0010151	

nterval]





Marginal effects (energy poverty)

	Margin	Delta-method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interv
Disaster						
0	.0112184	.0006109	18.36	0.000	.0100211	.0124
1	.021916	.0033081	6.63	0.000	.0154323	.0283
Parenting_Benefit						
0	.0112593	.0006123	18.39	0.000	.0100593	.0124
1	.0194057	.0030749	6.31	0.000	.0133791	.0254
Disaster#Parenting_Benefit						
0 0	.0111457	.0006094	18.29	0.000	.0099512	.0123
0 1	.0201657	.0031727	6.36	0.000	.0139475	.026
1 0	.022589	.0034149	6.61	0.000	.015896	.0292
1 1	.0006656	.0009265	0.72	0.473	0011504	.0024

/al]





Heterogeneity (Moderating effects with family payment benefits)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
VARIABLES	Financial Help	Food Pov	Rent Poor	Heating Related FuelPOV
Disaster	0.28428***	0.37610***	0.26333***	0.52563***
	(0.07936)	(0.14023)	(0.09668)	(0.12128)
Family Payment Benefit	0.14548***	0.05351	0.31526***	0.16194***
	(0.02760)	(0.05739)	(0.03388)	(0.05654)
Disaster#Family Payment Benefit	-0.14500	-0.61328**	-0.16389	<mark>-0.41858*</mark>
	(0.14646)	(0.28090)	(0.16606)	(0.24603)
Household controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Weather controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Year effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
State effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
Constant	0.17050	-0.55258	-1.39412	1.40306
	(0.82261)	(1.50284)	(0.96353)	(1.44135)
Observations	92,372	92,291	92,181	92,282
Number of wayeid	15,961	15,960	15,953	15,961

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1





Marginal effects (emergency fund)

	Margin	Delta-method std. err.	l z	P> z	[95% conf.	inte
Disaster						
0	.0111245	.0006633	16.77	0.000	.0098245	.01
1	.0159861	.0028282	5.65	0.000	.0104428	.02
Family_Payment_Benefit						
0	.0110236	.0006777	16.27	0.000	.0096954	.01
1	.0117934	.0010739	10.98	0.000	.0096885	.01
Disaster#Family_Payment_Benefit						
0 0	.0109387	.0006775	16.15	0.000	.0096107	.01
0 1	.0118505	.0010788	10.98	0.000	.009736	.01
1 0	.0188639	.0037	5.10	0.000	.011612	.02
1 1	.0082564	.0032112	2.57	0.010	.0019625	.01





erval]

Marginal effects (food poverty)

	Margin	Delta-method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.
Disaster					
0	.0553415	.0013177	42.00	0.000	.052759
1	.0778277	.0070164	11.09	0.000	.0640758
Family_Payment_Benefit					
0	.0533167	.0013679	38.98	0.000	.0506356
1	.0649588	.0023524	27.61	0.000	.0603482
Disaster#Family_Payment_Benefit					
0 0	.0530256	.0013685	38.75	0.000	.0503433
0 1	.0647921	.0023556	27.51	0.000	.0601752
10	.0778175	.0080024	9.72	0.000	.062133
1 1	.077866	.0128287	6.07	0.000	.0527222

interval] .0579241 .0915795 .0559978 .0695695 .0695695 .0694089 .093502 .1030098





Marginal effects (rent poverty)

	Margin	Delta-method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interv
Disaster						
0	.0334303	.0009921	33.70	0.000	.0314858	.0353
1	.0467423	.0054801	8.53	0.000	.0360015	.0574
Family_Payment_Benefit						
0	.030394	.0010045	30.26	0.000	.0284253	.0323
1	.0481879	.0021326	22.60	0.000	.044008	.0523
Disaster#Family_Payment_Benefit						
0 0	.0302262	.0010039	30.11	0.000	.0282585	.0321
0 1	.0480955	.0021357	22.52	0.000	.0439095	.0522
10	.0446747	.0062018	7.20	0.000	.0325194	.0568
1 1	.0552276	.0104319	5.29	0.000	.0347814	.0756



- .939





Marginal effects (energy poverty)

	Margin	Delta-method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	inte
Disaster						
0	.0111442	.000609	18.30	0.000	.0099505	.01
1	.0213765	.0033332	6.41	0.000	.0148435	.02
Family_Payment_Benefit						
0	.0106888	.0006359	16.81	0.000	.0094425	.0
1	.0135959	.0011115	12.23	0.000	.0114174	.01
Disaster#Family_Payment_Benefit						
0 0	.0105691	.0006322	16.72	0.000	.00933	.01
01	.0135658	.0011124	12.20	0.000	.0113856	.0
10	.0230608	.0039884	5.78	0.000	.0152436	.0
1 1	.015927	.0052181	3.05	0.002	.0056998	.02

erval]

L23378 *0*94







Robustness (Logit model)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
VARIABLES	Financial Help	Food Pov	Rent Poor	Heating Related
Disaster	0.24889***	0.25665*	0.26443***	0.47784*
	(0.06988)	(0.12564)	(0.07809)	(0.07694
Marginal effect	.0238604***	.0045871*	.0126573**	. <mark>016443*</mark>
674	(.0050707)	(.0021568)	(.005279)	(.003245
Household controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Weather controls	yes	yes	yes	yes
Year effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
State effect	yes	yes	yes	yes
Observations	92,372	92,291	92,181	92,282
Number of waveid	15,961	15,960	CLOSED PROVIDED DO	
	Robust st	andard errors in	n parentheses	

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1





**

FuelPOV **

Concluding remarks

- Extreme weather events are detrimental for economic resilience among Australian households.
- Extreme weather events surge economic vulnerabilities by increasing financial insecurity, food and rent poverty and energy poverty.
- Households at the higher income groups are less prone to economic vulnerabilities due to climatic variations.
- Government income support (i.e., parenting benefits) is helpful to reduce the negative effects of extreme weather events.





Extreme Climatic Events and Economic Vulnerabilities: Empirical Evidence from Australian Households

Mohammad Razib Hossain PhD Candidate School of Economics and Public Policy

Thank You!





References

- Johar, M., Johnston, D. W., Shields, M. A., Siminski, P., & Stavrunova, O. (2022). The economic impacts of direct natural disaster exposure. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 196, 26-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2022.01.023
- Masozera, M., Bailey, M., & Kerchner, C. (2007). Distribution of impacts of natural disasters across income groups: A case study of New Orleans. Ecological economics, 63(2-3), 299-306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.06.013
- Xie, S., Zhang, J., Li, X., Xia, X., & Chen, Z. (2024). The effect of agricultural insurance participation on rural households' economic resilience to natural disasters: evidence from China. Journal of Cleaner Production, 434, 140123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140123



